arXiv:1612.09348v1 [math.NT] 29 Dec 2016

GHOST CLASSES IN RANK TWO ORTHOGONAL SHIMURA VARIETIES

JITENDRA BAJPAI AND MATIAS VICTOR MOYA GIUSTI

Abstract. In this article, the existence of the ghost classes for the Shimura varieties associated to the algebraic groups over $\mathbb{Q}$ of orthogonal similitudes of signature $(2, n)$ is being studied. We make use of the study of the weights in the mixed Hodge structures associated to the corresponding cohomology spaces and results on the Eisenstein cohomology of the algebraic group of orthogonal similitudes of signature $(1, n-1)$. For the values of $n = 4, 5$ the existence of the ghost classes in its associated orthogonal Shimura varieties have been studied in detail.

1. Introduction

Let (G, X) be a Shimura pair, and let $\rho : G \to GL(V)$ be an irreducible finite dimensional representation. We can define in a natural way a local system $\widetilde{V}$ on the Shimura variety S associated to (G, X). In fact $\widetilde{V}$ defines a variation of complex Hodge structure of a given weight, denoted by $wt(V)$.

Let $T \subset G$ be a maximal torus defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $A \subset T$ be a maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-split torus. We choose a system of positive roots in the corresponding root systems $\Phi(G, T), \Phi(G, A)$ so that they are compatible, i.e. the restriction to A of a positive root in $\Phi(G, T)$ is positive in $\Phi(G, A)$. Let λ be the highest weight of V, we will usually denote V by V_λ. The choice of the system of positive roots $\Phi^+(G, S)$ in $\Phi(G, S)$ defines a set of standard proper $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolic subgroups denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$.

From now on we will assume that the semisimple $\mathbb{Q}$-rank of G is 2. In this case $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ consists of three elements : two maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolic subgroups, denoted by P_1 and P_2, and a minimal $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolic subgroup, denoted by P_0.

We consider the Borel-Serre compactification $\overline{S}$ of S (see [BS73]). The inclusion $S \hookrightarrow \overline{S}$ is an homotopy equivalence and $\widetilde{V}_\lambda$ can be extended naturally to $\overline{S}$. The corresponding local system will again be denoted by $\widetilde{V}_\lambda$. In fact there is a natural isomorphism $H^\bullet(S, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \cong H^\bullet(\overline{S}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ and as a consequence we obtain a long exact sequence in cohomology

$$\ldots \to H^q_c(S, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \to H^q(S, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \xrightarrow{r^q} H^q(\partial\overline{S}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \to \ldots \tag{1}$$

where $H^\bullet_c(S, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ denotes the cohomology with compact support and $\partial\overline{S} = \overline{S} \setminus S$ is the boundary of the Borel-Serre compactification.

On the other hand, we have a covering $\partial\overline{S} = \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)} \partial_P$, where this union is indexed by the elements of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$. The aforementioned covering induces a spectral sequence in cohomology abutting to $H^\bullet(\partial\overline{S}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ and in the case of $\mathbb{Q}$-rank 2 this is just a long exact sequence in cohomology

$$\ldots \to H^q(\partial\overline{S}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \xrightarrow{p^q} H^q(\partial_{P_1}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \oplus H^q(\partial_{P_2}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \to H^q(\partial_{P_0}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \to \ldots \tag{2}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14G35, 14D07; Secondary: 14M27.
Key words and phrases. Shimura varieties, Ghost classes, Mixed Hodge structures.

We define the space of q-ghost classes by $Gh^q(\widetilde{V}_\lambda) = Im(r^q) \cap Ker(p^q)$. Both long exact sequences in cohomology (1) and (2) are long exact sequences of mixed Hodge structures (see [HZ94]).

Moreover, for each $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ there is a decomposition, obtained by using Kostant's theorem (see [Kos61]), defined as follows :

$$H^q(\partial_{P_i}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}^{P_i}} Ind_{P_i(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{G(\mathbb{A}_f)} H^{q-\ell(w)}(S^{M_i}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)}) \tag{3}$$

where the induction is the algebraic (unnormalized) induction, $\mathcal{W}^{P_i}$ is the set of Weyl representatives associated to P_i, S^{M_i} is the symmetric space associated to the Levi quotient M_i of P_i, $W_{w_*(\lambda)}$ is the irreducible representation of M_i with certain highest weight $w_*(\lambda)$ and $\ell(w)$ denotes the length of the element w.

There are two important facts about this decomposition. First, the mixed Hodge structure of $H^q(\partial_{P_i}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ splits completely with respect to the decomposition (3). The second fact is that for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ there exists a subset $\mathcal{W}_i^0 \subset \mathcal{W}(G, T)$ such that $\mathcal{W}_i^0 \mathcal{W}^{P_i} = \mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ and the corresponding morphism in cohomology $r_{i,0}^\bullet : H^\bullet(\partial_{P_i}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \to H^\bullet(\partial_{P_0}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ restricted to $Ind_{P_i(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{G(\mathbb{A}_f)} H^{q-\ell(w)}(S^{M_i}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$ (with $w \in \mathcal{W}^{P_i}$) has image in

$$\bigoplus_{\widetilde{w} \in \mathcal{W}_i^0} Ind_{P_0(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{G(\mathbb{A}_f)} H^{q-\ell(w)-\ell(\widetilde{w})}(S^{M_i}, \widetilde{W}_{(\widetilde{w}w)_*(\lambda)}).$$

In the cases to be studied in this article, S^{M_0} can only have non trivial cohomology in degree zero, and the mixed Hodge structure of each term in (3) has a pure weight in this case.

The idea behind this paper is the fact that the space $Ker(p^q)$ (involved in the definition of ghost classes) is the image of the correspondig map $H^{q-1}(\partial_{P_0}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \to H^q(\partial\overline{S}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ and, after (3), we have a list of possible weights in the corresponding space of ghost classes. By using mixed Hodge theory and Eisenstein cohomology, a study of the morphisms $r^\bullet : H^\bullet(S, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \to H^\bullet(\partial\overline{S}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$, $r_{i,0}^\bullet : H^\bullet(\partial_{P_i}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \to H^\bullet(\partial_{P_0}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ is used to rule out most of the possible weights in the space of ghost classes.

Ghost classes have been introduced by A. Borel in 1980's. Later on, G. Harder made several mention about these classes in his work. At the very end in the article [Har90], Harder refers to the case GL_3 and said that *"…. the ghost classes appear if some L-values vanish. The order of vanishing does not play a role. But this may change in higher rank case"*. He further added that this aspect is worth to be investigated. Not to mention much, this gives a nice motivation to pursue the study of ghost classes a little further and especially in higher rank groups. Since then a few mathematicians have considered to study them, however the general theory of these classes has been slow in coming.

Ghost classes can be introduced for any reductive algebraic group and its definition does not depend on the existence of a complex structure, but in the case of a Shimura variety this space has a corresponding mixed Hodge structure. It is then interesting to study the existence of ghost classes for Shimura varieties and to give some description of the possible weights in its mixed Hodge structure. When S is a Shimura variety, the local system $\widetilde{V}_\lambda$ defines a complex variation of Hodge structures of a weight $wt(V_\lambda)$ and it is known that the weights in the mixed Hodge structure on the space $H^q(S, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ are greater than or equal to the middle weight, given by $q + wt(V_\lambda)$. Therefore the weights in the mixed Hodge structure on the space of ghost classes are greater than or equal to the middle weight. We say that the Shimura variety satisfy the middle weight property if the only

weight in the mixed Hodge structure on the space of ghost classes is the middle weight. In addition, it is said to satisfy the weak middle weight property if the only possible weights in the corresponding mixed Hodge structure are the middle weight and the middle weight plus one. In this article, we present a study of the Shimura varieties associated to the groups of orthogonal similitudes $GO(2,n)$ for $n \geq 3$. The study of ghost classes have been discussed in detail for the cases $n = 4$ and $n = 5$ in the last two sections. For example, in case of $n = 5$ we get the following result:

Ghost classes for $GO(2,5)$ Let V_λ be the finite dimensional irreducible representation with highest weight $\lambda = a_1\epsilon_1 + a_2\epsilon_2 + a_3\epsilon_3 + c\kappa$. Then:

(1) If $a_2 \neq a_3$ or $a_2 = a_3 \notin \{0,1\}$ then there are no ghost classes in the cohomology space $H^\bullet(\partial\overline{S}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$.
(2) If $a_2 \neq 1$ or $a_3 \neq 1$ then the only possible weights in the mixed Hodge structure on the space of ghost classes are the middle weight and the middle weight plus one.
(3) If $a_2 = a_3 = 1$ then the only possible weight in the space of ghost classes is the middle weight plus three.

We obtain similar result in case of $n = 4$ (see corollary 9).

2. The Shimura variety involved

In this section we present the family of Shimura varieties to be studied. Throughout the article, $n \geq 3$. Consider the Shimura pair $(GO(2,n), X)$, where $GO(2,n)$ is the group of orthogonal similitudes of signature $(2,n)$, in other words

$$GO(2,n)(A) = \left\{ g \in GL_{n+2}(A) \mid g^t I_{2,n} g = \nu(g) I_{2,n}, \nu(g) \in A^\times \right\}, \text{ for every } \mathbb{Q}\text{-algebra } A$$

where $I_{2,n} = Id_2 \times -Id_n$ and X is the $GO(2,n)(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of homomorphisms containing the element $h : \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) \to GO(2,n)(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$h(x+iy) = \begin{bmatrix} x^2-y^2 & 2xy & & & & & \\ 2xy & x^2-y^2 & & & & & \\ & & x^2+y^2 & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & x^2+y^2 & & \\ & & & & & x^2+y^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall (x+iy) \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus, the weight morphism $\omega_{_X} : \mathbb{G}_m \to GO(2,n)$ of the Shimura pair is given by $\omega_{_X}(t) = t^2 Id_{n+2}$ where Id_{n+2} denotes the identity in dimension $n+2$.

Some calculations became easier if we consider the algebraic group G_n that is isomorphic as algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ to $GO(2,n)$, given by

$$G_n(A) = \left\{ g \in GL_{n+2}(A) \mid g^t J_n g = \nu(g) J_n, \nu(g) \in A^\times \right\}, \text{ for every } \mathbb{Q}\text{-algebra } A$$

where

$$J_n = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & Id_{n-2} & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{bmatrix},$$

In fact, it can be verified that the conjugation, inside GL_{n+2}, by the element

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Id_{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

gives an isomorphism between the groups $GO(2,n)$ and G_n defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $K_f \subset G_n(\mathbb{A}_f)$ be an open compact subgroup, then we denote by S_K its corresponding level variety and we denote by

$$S = \varprojlim_{K} S_K$$

the Shimura variety defined by this Shimura datum.

3. Root system, $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolic subgroups and irreducible representations

Consider the maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-split torus

$$S = \left\{ h \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Id_{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_2^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h_1^{-1} \end{bmatrix} : h, h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{G}_m \right\}$$

Let $\mathfrak{s}$ denote the Lie algebra of S. One can see that the corresponding $\mathbb{Q}$-root system $\Phi(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{s})$ is of type B_2. The system of simple roots can be taken as $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2\}$, where $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathfrak{s}^*$ denote the usual elements. This determines a set of proper standard $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolic subgroups $\mathcal{P}(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{P_0, P_1, P_2\}$, where P_0 is a minimal and P_1, P_2 are maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolic subgroups of G. To be more precise, we write

$$P_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & * & \dots & * & * \\ 0 & * & \dots & * & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & * & \dots & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \in GL(n+2,\mathbb{C}) \right\} \cap G_n(\mathbb{C})$$

and

$$P_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & \dots & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & \dots & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & \dots & * & * & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & * & \dots & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & * \end{bmatrix} \in GL(n+2,\mathbb{C}) \right\} \cap G_n(\mathbb{C})$$

Finally, P_0 is simply the group given by $P_1 \cap P_2$. One can see that over $\mathbb{C}$ the group G_n is isomorphic to the group of orthogonal similitudes $GO(n+2)$ of matrices preserving the quadratic form defined

by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \iddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(in dimension $n+2$) up to a scalar multiple. An isomorphism between G_n and $GO(n+2)$ can be established by conjugation by a certain matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $A \in GL_{n-2}(\mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & \iddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \iddots & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \iddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \iddots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i \end{bmatrix},$$

if n is even and odd respectively. There is a canonical maximal torus T in $GO(n+2)$ which is given by the subgroup of all its diagonal matrices. It is clear that the maximal $\mathbb{Q}$-split torus S is contained in T. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be the Lie algebra associated to T then $\mathfrak{t}$ is given by all the diagonal elements in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{go}(n+2)$ corresponding to $GO(n+2)$. For the study of the corresponding root system and the irreducible representations we need to treat n odd and n even cases separately.

3.1. **Case n odd.** T is a torus of dimension $l+1$, where $l = \frac{n+1}{2}$. Now, we describe the irreducible finite dimensional representations of G_n. One can see that $\mathfrak{go}(n+2)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{so}(n+2)_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathbb{C}Id_{n+2}$. On the other hand, let $\mathfrak{t}'_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n+2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the l-dimensional subspace of diagonal matrices. Here $\mathfrak{t}'_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(n+2)_{\mathbb{C}}$. We consider the canonical elements $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_l \in (\mathfrak{t}'_{\mathbb{C}})^*$. Then one knows that the corresponding root system is of type B_l and $\Delta = \{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_{l-1} - \epsilon_l, \epsilon_l\}$ is a system of simple roots. We recall that, with respect to this choice of the system of simple roots, the fundamental weights for $\mathfrak{so}(n+2)$ are given by:

$$\varpi_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \epsilon_i, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k < l \quad \text{and} \quad \varpi_l = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \epsilon_i$$

and the finite dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{so}(n+2)$ are determined by their highest weights, given by the expressions of the form $n_1\varpi_1 + \ldots + n_l\varpi_l$ with $n_1, \ldots, n_l \in \mathbb{N}$. Only the highest weights with n_l even will correspond to a finite dimensional irreducible representation of $SO(n+2)$. From the fact that $G = GO(n+2)$ is the almost direct product of $Z(G)(\cong \mathbb{G}_m)$ and $SO(n+2)$ one can deduce that the finite dimensional irreducible representations of G are in bijection with the highest weights of the

form $n_1\varpi_1+\ldots+n_l 2\varpi_l+c\kappa$ with $n_1,\ldots,n_l\in\mathbb{N}$, $c\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $c\equiv n_1+2n_2+\ldots+ln_l \pmod 2$. Therefore, one can see that the irreducible finite dimensional representations of G_n can be determined by their highest weights and these are given by the elements of the form $a_1\epsilon_1+\ldots+a_l\epsilon_l+c\kappa$ with $a_1\geq\ldots\geq a_l\in\mathbb{N}$, $c\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $c\equiv a_1+a_2+\ldots+a_l \pmod 2$.

Finally, with respect to the root system defined by $\mathfrak{t}$, the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{go}(n+2)_{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$ has $2^l l!$ elements and these elements are given by the composition of a permutation in S_l acting on $\{\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_l\}$ and any possible change of signs on these elements. For a given permutation $\sigma\in S_l$ and $f:\{1,\ldots,l\}\to\{1,-1\}$, we denote by $w=w_{\sigma,f}$ the element in $\mathcal{W}$ that takes each ϵ_i to $f(\sigma(i))\epsilon_{\sigma(i)}$.

3.2. **Case n even.** Following similar procedure, we can determine the irreducible finite dimensional representations of G_n by their corresponding highest weights. In this case $l=\frac{n+2}{2}$ and T has dimension $l+1$. Let $\mathfrak{t}'_{\mathbb{C}}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n+2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ be, again, the l-dimensional subspace of diagonal matrices, then $\mathfrak{t}'_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(n+2)_{\mathbb{C}}$. The corresponding root system is of type D_l and $\Delta=\{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2,\ldots,\epsilon_{l-1}-\epsilon_l,\epsilon_{l-1}+\epsilon_l\}$ is a system of simple roots, where $\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_l\in(\mathfrak{t}'_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ is the canonical base in $(\mathfrak{t}'_{\mathbb{C}})^*$. Therefore the fundamental weights for $\mathfrak{so}(n+2)$ are given by:

$$\varpi_k=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\epsilon_i,\quad \text{for } 1\leq k<l-1,\quad \varpi_{l-1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1}\epsilon_i-\epsilon_l\right) \text{ and } \varpi_l=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{l}\epsilon_i$$

and the finite dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{so}(n+2)$ are determined by their highest weights, given by the expressions of the form $n_1\varpi_1+\ldots+n_l\varpi_l$ with $n_1,\ldots,n_l\in\mathbb{N}$ and the elements with $n_{l-1}+n_l$ even will correspond to a finite dimensional irreducible representation of $SO(n+2)$. One can finally deduce that the finite dimensional irreducible representations of G_n are in bijection with the highest weights of the form $n_1\varpi_1+\ldots+n_l\varpi_l+c\kappa$ with $n_1,\ldots,n_l\in\mathbb{N}$, $n_{l-1}+n_l$ even and $c\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $c\equiv n_1+2n_2+\ldots+(l-2)n_{l-2}+\frac{l-2}{2}n_{l-1}+\frac{l}{2}n_l \pmod 2$. Therefore, the finite dimensional irreducible representations of G_n are determined by their highest weights and these are of the form $a_1\epsilon_1+\ldots+a_l\epsilon_l+c\kappa$ with $a_1\geq\ldots\geq a_l\in\mathbb{Z}$, $a_{l-1}\geq 0$, $a_{l-1}\geq a_l\geq -a_{l-1}$ and $c\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $c\equiv a_1+a_2+\ldots+a_l \pmod 2$.

Finally, it is known that the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ is given by all compositions of an element of the group of permutations S_l on $\{\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_l\}$ and a change of signs on an even number of these elements. For a given permutation $\sigma\in S_l$ and $f:\{1,\ldots,l\}\to\{1,-1\}$, we use the same notation as in the last subsection to denote the corresponding element $w=w_{\sigma,f}$ in the Weyl group.

4. Weyl representatives

In this section we describe the set of Weyl representatives associated to each standard $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolic subgroup of G_n as defined in [Kos61]. Because of the difference between the corresponding Weyl groups, the even and odd cases will be treated separately.

4.1. **Case n odd.** We begin with the description of the Weyl representatives for the minimal $\mathbb{Q}$-parabolic subgroup P_0. The roots appearing in the unipotent radical of P_0 are

$$\Delta_0=\{\epsilon_1\pm\epsilon_2,\ldots,\epsilon_1\pm\epsilon_l,\epsilon_2\pm\epsilon_3,\ldots,\epsilon_2\pm\epsilon_l,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\}$$

and by definition the set of Weyl representatives $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ are the elements $w\in\mathcal{W}$ such that $w(\Phi^-)\cap\Phi^+\subset\Delta_0$, but the elements in Φ^+ which are not in Δ_0 are $\Phi^+\setminus\Delta_0=\{\epsilon_m\pm\epsilon_n,\epsilon_m \mid 2<m<n\leq l\}$. From this fact one can see the following:

Lemma 1. *Let $w_{\sigma,f}$ be an element of the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$, then $w_{\sigma,f}\in\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ if and only if*

(1) $f(m) = 1 \quad m > 2$, *and*
(2) $\sigma^{-1}(m) < \sigma^{-1}(n) \quad \forall 2 < m < n \leq l$.

In fact $w_{\sigma,f} \in \mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ is determined by the values $f(1), f(2), \sigma^{-1}(1)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(2)$. Therefore $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ has $4(l-1)l$ elements. Observe that the only element in Δ_0 which is not in Δ_2 is $\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$. Then, clearly $\mathcal{W}^{P_2}$ is the subset of $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ of Weyl elements w such that $\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 \notin w(\Phi^-)$. From this fact one can easily see that, for $w_{\sigma,f} \in \mathcal{W}^{P_0}$, if $f(1) = -1$ and $f(2) = 1$ then $w_{\sigma,f} \notin \mathcal{W}^{P_2}$. On the other hand, if $f(1) = 1$ and $f(2) = -1$ then for any $\sigma \in S_l$, the corresponding element $w_{\sigma,f} \in \mathcal{W}^{P_0}$. Moreover we see the following

Lemma 2. $\mathcal{W}^{P_2}$ *consists of the elements* $w_{\sigma,f} \in \mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ *satisfying one of the following conditions*

- $f(1) = 1$ *and* $f(2) = -1$.
- $f(1) = f(2) = 1$ *and* $\sigma^{-1}(1) < \sigma^{-1}(2)$.
- $f(1) = f(2) = -1$ *and* $\sigma^{-1}(1) > \sigma^{-1}(2)$.

Finally, $\Delta_1 = \{e_1 \pm e_2, \ldots, e_1 \pm e_l, e_1\}$ and using the above methods, we get the following

Lemma 3. $\mathcal{W}^{P_1}$ *consists of the elements* $w_{\sigma,f} \in \mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ *satisfying the following conditions*

- $f(2) = 1$ *and*
- $\sigma^{-1}(2) < \sigma^{-1}(3)$.

We now describe the Weyl representatives $\mathcal{W}_0^i$ of $P_0 \cap M_i$ in M_i for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$. Using the same methods as above, we determine $\mathcal{W}_0^2 = \left\{w_{e,\emptyset}, w_{(1,2),\emptyset}\right\}$ and

$$\mathcal{W}_0^1 = \left\{w_{\sigma,f} \mid f(m) = 1 \quad \forall m \neq 2, \sigma(1) = 1 \text{ and } \sigma^{-1}(m) < \sigma^{-1}(n) \quad \forall 2 < m < n \leq l\right\} .$$

Note that $\mathcal{W}^{P_0} = \mathcal{W}_0^i \mathcal{W}^{P_i}$ for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$.

4.2. **Case n even.** In this case, Δ_0 is given by $\{\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_k \mid 1 < k \leq l\} \cup \{\epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_k \mid 2 < k \leq l\}$. For $2 < k < l$, we see that if $f(k) = -1$ then $w_{\sigma,f} \notin \mathcal{W}^{P_0}$. In fact we get the following

Lemma 4. $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ *is given by all the elements in* $w_{\sigma,f} \in \mathcal{W}$ *satisfying*

(1) $f(k) = 1 \quad \forall 2 < k < l$.
(2) $\sigma^{-1}(m) < \sigma^{-1}(n) \quad \forall 2 < m < n \leq l$.

Also, the only element in Δ_0 which is not in Δ_2 is $\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$ and $\Delta_1 = \{\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_k \mid 1 < k \leq l\}$. From these facts we deduce the following

Lemma 5. $\mathcal{W}^{P_2}$ *is the subset of* $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ *consisting the elements* $w_{\sigma,f} \in \mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ *satisfying one of the following conditions :*

(1) $f(1) = 1$ *and* $f(2) = -1$.
(2) $f(1) = f(2) = 1$ *and* $\sigma^{-1}(1) < \sigma^{-1}(2)$.
(3) $f(1) = f(2) = -1$ *and* $\sigma^{-1}(1) > \sigma^{-1}(2)$.

and $\mathcal{W}^{P_1}$ *is the subset of* $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ *consisting of the elements* $w_{\sigma,f} \in \mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ *satisfying both conditions*

(1) $f(2) = 1$.
(2) $\sigma^{-1}(2) < \sigma^{-1}(3)$.

By similar computations, the sets $\mathcal{W}_2^0$ and $\mathcal{W}_1^0$ are given by $\mathcal{W}_2^0 = \left\{w_{e,\emptyset}, w_{(1,2),\emptyset}\right\}$ and

$$\mathcal{W}_1^0 = \left\{w_{\sigma,f} \in \mathcal{W}^{P_0} \mid \sigma(1) = 1, f(1) = 1\right\} .$$

5. Mixed Hodge theory

In this section, we collect some information regarding the weight filtration of the mixed Hodge structure for the cohomology spaces in the long exact sequences (1) and (2).

First of all, the weight morphism assocaited to the orthogonal Shimura variety associated to $GO(2,n)$ is given by the morphism $\omega : \mathbb{G}_m \to GO(2,n)$ defined by $t \mapsto t^2 Id_{n+2}$. Therefore, for a finite dimensional irreducible reresentation $(\rho_\lambda, V_\lambda)$ with highest weight $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i\epsilon_i + c\kappa$, the composition $\rho_\lambda \circ \omega : \mathbb{G}_m \to GL(V_\lambda)$ is given by $t \mapsto t^{2c} Id_{n+2}$. Therefore V_λ defines a complex variation of Hodge structure of weight $-2c$ and the mixed Hodge structure on the space $H^q(S, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ has weights greater than or equal to $q - 2c$.

We continue by calculating the morphisms $h_i : \mathbb{S} \to G_{h,i}$ defining a Shimura pair $(G_{h,i}, h_i)$ where $G_{h,i}$ is the Hermitian part of the Levi subgroup M_i of P_i. For this we use the description given in [Har86]. In the case $i = 1$ we have that the morphism $h_1 : \mathbb{S} \to G_{h,1} \subset GO(2,n)$ is given by

$$h_1(z) = \begin{bmatrix} |z|^4 & & \\ & |z|^2 Id_n & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

In particular, the weight morphism associated to $(G_{h,i}, h)$ is the morphism $w_i : \mathbb{G}_m \to G_{h,i} \subset M_i$ given by

$$w_1(t) = \begin{bmatrix} t^4 & & \\ & t^2 Id_n & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} = t^2 \begin{bmatrix} t^2 & & \\ & Id_n & \\ & & t^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{R}).$$

From this description of the weight morphism w_1 one can see that, if we write $w_*(\lambda) = n_1\epsilon_1 + \ldots + n_l\epsilon_l + c\kappa$, then the mixed Hodge structure on the space $H^q(S^{M_1}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$, described in [HZ94], has weights greater than or equal to $q - 2c - 2n_1$.

On the other hand $h_2 : \mathbb{S} \to G_{h,2} \subset GO(2,n)$ is given by

$$h_{P_2}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} |z|^2 \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x \end{bmatrix} & & \\ & |z|^2 Id_{n-2} & \\ & & \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall z = (x+iy) \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

and the corresponding weight morphism is given by

$$w_2(t) = \begin{bmatrix} t^3 Id_2 & & \\ & t^2 Id_{n-2} & \\ & & tId_2 \end{bmatrix} = t^2 \begin{bmatrix} tId_2 & & \\ & Id_{n-2} & \\ & & t^{-1}Id_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{R}).$$

One can deduce that the weight in the mixed Hodge structure associated to $H^q(S^{M_2}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$ are greater than or equal to $q - 2c - n_1 - n_2$. We end this section by making the observation that the mixed Hodge structure on the space $H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$ has weight equal to $-2c - 2n_1$. Note that S^{M_0} can only have cohomology in degree zero.

6. Important facts

In this section we explain the methods used to determine when a cohomology class in $H^*(\partial_0, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ does not contribute to a ghost class in the cohomology of the boundary.

6.1. **A decomposition of $H^\bullet(\partial_i, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$.** In this subsection we introduce a well known decomposition of the spaces $H^\bullet(\partial_i, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$. For $w \in \mathcal{W}$, we denote by $\ell(w)$ the length of w. For each $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}^{P_i}$, we denote by $w_*(\lambda)$ the element $w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ (where $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^+}\alpha$). Then $w_*(\lambda)$ is the highest weight associated to an irreducible finite dimensional representation of M_i that will be denoted by $W_{w_*(\lambda)}$. One knows that, for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$H^q(\partial_i, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) = \bigoplus_{w\in\mathcal{W}^{P_i}} Ind_{P_i(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{G(\mathbb{A}_f)} H^{q-\ell(w)}(S^{M_i}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)}). \tag{4}$$

where $Ind_{P_i(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{G(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ denotes the algebraic (unnormalized) induction and S^{M_i} is the symmetric space associated to M_i. For the rest of this paper we will denote $Ind_{P_i(\mathbb{A}_f)}^{G(\mathbb{A}_f)}$ by $Ind_{P_i}^{G}$.

For each $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\mathcal{W}^{P_i}(q)$ the elements $w \in \mathcal{W}^{P_i}$ with $\ell(w) = q$. One can see that S^{M_0} can only have nontrivial cohomology in degree 0, in particular one can see that

$$H^q(\partial_0, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) = \bigoplus_{w\in\mathcal{W}^{P_0}(q)} Ind_{P_0}^{G} H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)}) \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5}$$

The point we make in this article is that in order to study $ker(p^q)$ (see (2)) we study the image of the map $\delta_q : H^{q-1}(\partial_0, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \to H^q(\partial\overline{S}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$. Therefore, for each $w \in \mathcal{W}^{P_0}(q-1)$ we study whether the corresponding space is in the kernel of δ_q and, in the case this subspace is not in the kernel of δ_q, whether this space could contribute to ghost classes.

6.2. **Middle weight.** The fact that the weights in the mixed Hodge structure on $H^q(S, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ are greater than or equal to $q-2c$ is being strongly used. Note that $-2c$ is exactly the weight in the variation of the complex Hodge structure defined by V_λ. Therefore, if the space $Ind_{P_0}^{G} H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$ in (5) has weight lower that $q-2c$, then this space cannot contribute to ghost classes.

6.3. **Image of $r_2 : H^\bullet(\partial_2, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \to H^\bullet(\partial_0, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$.** If a class in $H^{q-1}(\partial_0, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ is in the image of r_2, then it is in the kernel of δ_q. The image of r_2 can be studied by two different methods either by using the corresponding mixed Hodge structure or by using the results in [Har87]. If we use the results in [Har87], we have to consider that we are always in the unbalanced case of Theorem 2 of that paper. To be more precise, if w is written as $\widetilde{w}w_2$ in the decomposition $\mathcal{W}^{P_0} = \mathcal{W}_0^2\mathcal{W}^{P_2}$ then $\tilde{w}$ is the identity e or the Weyl element $w_{(1,2),\emptyset}$, given by the transposition $(1,2)$. Then if $\tilde{w}$ is not the identity, the corresponding space $Ind_{P_0}^{G} H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$ is in the image of r_2, unless $\widetilde{W}_{(w_2)_*(\lambda)}$ is one dimensional.

6.4. **Image of $r_1 : H^\bullet(\partial_1, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \to H^\bullet(\partial_0, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$.** For studying the image of r_1 we use the general description of Eisenstein cohomology in the case when the corresponding Eisenstein series are holomorphic in the point of evaluation. We handle this situation following [Sch94] as this provides complete description of the corresponding image under r_1 of the regular Eisenstein cohomology constructed.

7. Ghost classes for GO(2, 5)

In this section, we closely study $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ to determine when the corresponding space will have possible contribution to the ghost classes. This is done by using the facts enunciated in section 6. In this case $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ has 24 elements. In the first column of Table 1, we indicate the Weyl representative determined by the permutation $\sigma \in S_3$ and the choice of signs f given in the second and third

column respectively. In the forth column we simply write the set $f^{-1}(-1) \subset \{1,2,3\}$. The fifth column collects the length of the corresponding Weyl representative and the sixth column indicates the weight in the mixed Hodge structure of $Ind_{P_0}^{G} H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$. The seventh column indicates the components of w with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{W}_0^2\mathcal{W}^{P_2}$ of $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ and in the last two column we write the coefficients n_1, n_2 from the expression $w_*(\lambda) = n_1\epsilon_1 + n_2\epsilon_2 + n_3\epsilon_3 + c\kappa$. By this analysis

TABLE 1. The set of Weyl representatives $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$

w	σ	f	$\ell(w)$	weight + $2c$	$\mathcal{W}_0^2\mathcal{W}^{P_2}$	n_1	n_2
w_1	e	$\emptyset$	0	$-2a_1$	w_1w_1	a_1	a_2
w_2	$(1,2)$	$\emptyset$	1	$2-2a_2$	w_2w_1	a_2-1	a_1+1
w_3	$(1,3)$	$\emptyset$	3	$4-2a_3$	w_2w_6	a_3-2	a_2
w_4	$(2,3)$	$\emptyset$	1	$-2a_1$	w_1w_4	a_1	a_3-1
w_5	$(1,2,3)$	$\emptyset$	2	$4-2a_3$	w_2w_4	a_3-2	a_1+1
w_6	$(3,2,1)$	$\emptyset$	2	$2-2a_2$	w_1w_6	a_2-1	a_3-1
w_7	e	$\{1\}$	5	$10+2a_1$	w_2w_{14}	$-a_1-5$	a_2
w_8	$(1,2)$	$\{1\}$	4	$8+2a_2$	w_2w_{13}	$-a_2-4$	a_1+1
w_9	$(1,3)$	$\{1\}$	4	$6+2a_3$	w_2w_{18}	$-a_3-3$	a_2
w_{10}	$(2,3)$	$\{1\}$	6	$10+2a_1$	w_2w_{17}	$-a_1-5$	a_3-1
w_{11}	$(1,2,3)$	$\{1\}$	3	$6+2a_3$	w_2w_{16}	$-a_3-3$	a_1+1
w_{12}	$(3,2,1)$	$\{1\}$	5	$8+2a_2$	w_2w_{15}	$-a_2-4$	a_3-1
w_{13}	e	$\{2\}$	3	$-2a_1$	w_1w_{13}	a_1	$-a_2-3$
w_{14}	$(1,2)$	$\{2\}$	4	$2-2a_2$	w_1w_{14}	a_2-1	$-a_1-4$
w_{15}	$(1,3)$	$\{2\}$	4	$4-2a_3$	w_1w_{15}	a_3-2	$-a_2-3$
w_{16}	$(2,3)$	$\{2\}$	2	$-2a_1$	w_1w_{16}	a_1	$-a_3-2$
w_{17}	$(1,2,3)$	$\{2\}$	5	$4-2a_3$	w_1w_{17}	a_3-2	$-a_1-4$
w_{18}	$(3,2,1)$	$\{2\}$	3	$2-2a_2$	w_1w_{18}	a_2-1	$-a_3-2$
w_{19}	e	$\{1,2\}$	8	$10+2a_1$	w_2w_{20}	$-a_1-5$	$-a_2-3$
w_{20}	$(1,2)$	$\{1,2\}$	7	$8+2a_2$	w_1w_{20}	$-a_2-4$	$-a_1-4$
w_{21}	$(1,3)$	$\{1,2\}$	5	$6+2a_3$	w_1w_{21}	$-a_3-3$	$-a_2-3$
w_{22}	$(2,3)$	$\{1,2\}$	7	$10+2a_1$	w_2w_{23}	$-a_1-5$	$-a_3-2$
w_{23}	$(1,2,3)$	$\{1,2\}$	6	$6+2a_3$	w_1w_{23}	$-a_3-3$	$-a_1-4$
w_{24}	$(3,2,1)$	$\{1,2\}$	6	$8+2a_2$	w_2w_{21}	$-a_2-4$	$-a_3-2$

we are able to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *Let V_λ be the finite dimensional irreducible representation with highest weight $\lambda = a_1\epsilon_1 + a_2\epsilon_2 + a_3\epsilon_3 + c\kappa$. Then the possible ghost classes come from the spaces associated to the following elements:*

1. *$w = w_2$. This case could contribute to a ghost class in degree 2 only if $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$ (V_λ is one dimensional) and in that case the corresponding weight in the associated mixed Hodge structure is $2-2c$ (which is exactly the middle weight of $H^2(S, \tilde{V}_\lambda)$).*
2. *$w = w_3$. This case could contribute to a ghost class in degree 4 only if $a_2 = a_3 = 0$ in which case the weight in the corresponding mixed Hodge structure is $4-2c$ (Exactly the middle weight for $H^4(S, \tilde{V}_\lambda)$).*

(3) $w = w_{19}$. *This case could contribute to a ghost class in degree* 9 *only if* $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$, *in which case the corresponding weight is* $10 - 2c$ *(the middle weight of* $H^9(S, \tilde{V}_\lambda)$ *plus one).*
(4) $w = w_{21}$. *This case could contribute to ghost classes in degree* 6 *only if* $a_2 = a_3 = 0$, *in this case the corresponding weight is* $6 - 2c$ *(the middle weight of* $H^6(S, \tilde{V}_\lambda)$*) .*
(5) $w = w_{24}$. *This case could contribute to ghost classes in degree* 7 *only if* $a_2 = a_3 = 0$ *or* $a_2 = a_3 = 1$. *In this case the corresponding weight would be* $8 - 2c$ *or* $10 - 2c$ *respectively, while the middle weight of* $H^7(S, \tilde{V}_\lambda)$ *is* $7 - 2c$.

Proof. By 6.2 and by using the information in the Table 1 one can see that the spaces $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$ will not contribute to ghost classes for

$$w \in \{w_1, w_4, w_6, w_{13}, w_{14}, w_{15}, w_{16}, w_{17}, w_{18}\}$$

On the other hand, for the case $w = w_2$, the corresponding space could contribute to ghost classes only if $a_2 = 0$ (which clearly implies $a_3 = 0$) and for the cases $w = w_3$ and $w = w_5$ the contribution to ghost classes could be possible only if $a_3 = 0$.

We continue by studying the image of $r_2 : H^\bullet(\partial_2, \widetilde{V}_\lambda) \to H^\bullet(\partial_0, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ by using 6.3. If $w \in \mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ is written as $w = \tilde{w} w_2$ with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{W}^{P_0} = \mathcal{W}_0^2 \mathcal{W}^{P_2}$ and $\tilde{w} \neq e$, then we study the image of the projection

$$Ind_{P_2}^G H^1(S^{M_2}, \widetilde{W}_{(w_2)_*(\lambda)}) \to Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)}).$$

For this we consider the decomposition in the sixth column of Table 1 and the information of the highest weight $(w_2)_*(\lambda)$ given in the last two columns. From this considerations one can see that the spaces $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$ corresponding to the Weyl representatives

$$w \in \{w_5, w_7, w_8, w_9, w_{10}, w_{11}, w_{12}, w_{22}\}$$

are contained in the image of r_2 and therefore could not contribute to ghost classes. On the other hand, for the cases $w = w_2$ and $w = w_{19}$ the corresponding space is not entirely contained in the image of r_2 only when $a_1 = a_2$ and for the cases $w = w_3$ and $w = w_{24}$ this will happen only when $a_2 = a_3$.

Therefore the only possible contributions to ghost classes come from $w_2, w_3, w_{19}, w_{20}, w_{21}, w_{23}, w_{24}$. We will study each one of these cases to determine whether they could actually contribute to ghost classes and in that case what are the possible weights in the corresponding mixed Hodge structure.

Let $w = w_{20}$. Then $w = w_{13} w_8$ with repect to the decomposition $\mathcal{W}^{P_0} = \mathcal{W}_0^1 \mathcal{W}^{P_1}$. We should keep in mind, by 4.1, that $\mathcal{W}^{P_1} = \{w_1, w_2, w_5, w_7, w_8, w_{11}\}$, $\mathcal{W}_0^1 = \{w_1, w_4, w_{13}, w_{16}\}$, $\ell(w_{13}) = 3$ and $\ell(w_4) = 2$.

By using the results of [Sch94], and more precisely using the results in section 6, if some Eisesntein series are holomorphic at an specific point, we can construct cohomology classes in $H^\bullet(\partial_1, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ from a class in $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$ such that the image under r_1 of this class is some "diagonal" element in $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{(w_{13}w_8)_*(\lambda)}) \oplus Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{(w_1 w_8)_*(\lambda)})$ and even more the composition of r_2 with the projection to the first component of this element is the chosen class in $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$. As $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{(w_8)_*(\lambda)})$ is in the image of r_2, we can conclude that, in the case that the corresponding Eisenstein series associated to classes in $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{(w_{13}w_8)_*(\lambda)})$ are holomorphic in the evaluation point, the space $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{(w_{20})_*(\lambda)})$ will be in $Im(r_1) + Im(r_2)$.

By using the notation in [Sch94], the evaluation point of the Eisesntein series associated to a class in $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{(w_{20})_*(\lambda)})$ is given by

$$\Lambda_w^{P_1} = -w(\lambda+\rho)|_{\mathfrak{a}_0^{P_1}} = -(w_*(\lambda)+\rho)|_{\mathfrak{a}_0^{P_1}}$$

and it is known that these Eisesntein series are holomorphic in the semiplane defined by

$$< Re\Lambda, \alpha^\vee > \quad > \quad < \rho_{P_0}, \alpha^\vee > \quad \forall \alpha \in A_0^{P_1}.$$

But in this case $A_0^{P_1}$ is one dimensional and we just have to check this for $\alpha = \epsilon_2$.

This inequality at $\Lambda = \Lambda_w^{P_1}$ is then given by $-n_2 > 3$, where n_2 is given by the expression $w_*(\lambda) = n_1\epsilon_1 + n_2\epsilon_2 + n_3\epsilon_3 + c\kappa$. By this consideration one can see that the Eisenstein series associated to $w = w_{20}$ is holomorphic at $\Lambda_w^{P_1}$, the corresponding space is in $Im(r_1) + Im(r_2)$ and therefore it does not contribute to ghost classes. Applying the same method one can see that the following spaces do not contribute to the ghost classes as well : corresponding to the Weyl representative w_{23}; w_{19} and w_{21} unless $a_2 = 0$ and w_{24} unless $a_3 \in \{0, 1\}$ and this completes the proof. □

We conclude this section with the following

Corollary 7. *Let V_λ be the finite dimensional irreducible representation with highest weight $\lambda = a_1\epsilon_1 + a_2\epsilon_2 + a_3\epsilon_3 + c\kappa$. Then:*

1. *If $a_2 \neq a_3$ or $a_2 = a_3 \notin \{0, 1\}$ then there are no ghost classes in the cohomology space $H^\bullet(\partial\overline{S}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$.*
2. *If $a_2 \neq 1$ or $a_3 \neq 1$ then the only possible weights in the mixed Hodge structure of the space of ghost classes are the middle weight and the middle weight plus one.*
3. *If $a_2 = a_3 = 1$ then the only possible weight in the space of ghost classes is the middle weight plus three.*

8. Ghost classes for GO(2, 4)

In this case the set of Weyl representatives $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ is the whole Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$. We present a similar table as in the section 7, with the elements in $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$ and where each column delivers the same type of information. In this particular case, the description of the sets of Weyl representatives given in the subsection 4.2 can be summarized as follows:

- $\mathcal{W}^{P_0} = \mathcal{W}$, this is the set of all 24 elements listed in Table 2 below.
- $\mathcal{W}^{P_2} = \big\{w_{e,\emptyset}, w_{(2,3),\emptyset}, w_{(3,2,1),\emptyset}, w_{(1,2),\{1,2\}}, w_{(1,3),\{1,2\}}, w_{(1,2,3),\{1,2\}}, w_{e,\{2,3\}}, w_{(1,2),\{2,3\}}, w_{(1,3),\{2,3\}}, w_{(2,3),\{2,3\}}, w_{(1,2,3),\{2,3\}}, w_{(3,2,1),\{2,3\}}\big\}$.
- $\mathcal{W}^{P_1} = \big\{w_{e,\emptyset}, w_{(1,2),\emptyset}, w_{(1,2,3),\emptyset}, w_{e,\{1,3\}}, w_{(1,2),\{1,3\}}, w_{(1,2,3),\{1,3\}}\big\}$.
- $\mathcal{W}_2^0 = \big\{w_{e,\emptyset}, w_{(1,2),\emptyset}\big\}$.
- $\mathcal{W}_1^0 = \big\{w_{e,\emptyset}, w_{(2,3),\emptyset}, w_{e,\{2,3\}}, w_{(2,3),\{2,3\}}\big\}$.

Theorem 8. *Let V_λ be the finite dimensional irreducible representation with highest weight $\lambda = a_1\epsilon_1 + a_2\epsilon_2 + a_3\epsilon_3 + c\kappa$. Then the possible ghost classes come from the spaces associated to the following elements:*

1. *$w = w_2$, only when $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$. In this case the corresponding cohomology class could contribute to a ghost class in degree 2 and would have weight equal to the middle weight of $H^2(S, \tilde{V}_\lambda)$.*

TABLE 2. The set of Weyl representatives $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$

w	σ	f	$\ell(w)$	weight + $2c$	$\mathcal{W}_0^2\mathcal{W}^{P_2}$	n_1	n_2
w_1	e	$\emptyset$	0	$-2a_1$	w_1w_1	a_1	a_2
w_2	$(1,2)$	$\emptyset$	1	$2-2a_2$	w_2w_1	a_2-1	a_1+1
w_3	$(1,3)$	$\emptyset$	3	$4-2a_3$	w_2w_6	a_3-2	a_2
w_4	$(2,3)$	$\emptyset$	1	$-2a_1$	w_1w_4	a_1	a_3-1
w_5	$(1,2,3)$	$\emptyset$	2	$4-2a_3$	w_2w_4	a_3-2	a_1+1
w_6	$(3,2,1)$	$\emptyset$	2	$2-2a_2$	w_1w_6	a_2-1	a_3-1
w_7	e	$\{1,2\}$	6	$8+2a_1$	w_2w_8	$-a_1-4$	$-a_2-2$
w_8	$(1,2)$	$\{1,2\}$	5	$6+2a_2$	w_1w_8	$-a_2-3$	$-a_1-3$
w_9	$(1,3)$	$\{1,2\}$	3	$4+2a_3$	w_1w_9	$-a_3-2$	$-a_2-2$
w_{10}	$(2,3)$	$\{1,2\}$	5	$8+2a_1$	w_2w_{11}	$-a_1-4$	$-a_3-1$
w_{11}	$(1,2,3)$	$\{1,2\}$	4	$4+2a_3$	w_1w_{11}	$-a_3-2$	$-a_1-3$
w_{12}	$(3,2,1)$	$\{1,2\}$	4	$6+2a_2$	w_2w_9	$-a_2-3$	$-a_3-1$
w_{13}	e	$\{2,3\}$	2	$-2a_1$	w_1w_{13}	a_1	$-a_2-2$
w_{14}	$(1,2)$	$\{2,3\}$	3	$2-2a_2$	w_1w_{14}	a_2-1	$-a_1-3$
w_{15}	$(1,3)$	$\{2,3\}$	3	$4-2a_3$	w_1w_{15}	a_3-2	$-a_2-2$
w_{16}	$(2,3)$	$\{2,3\}$	1	$-2a_1$	w_1w_{16}	a_1	$-a_3-1$
w_{17}	$(1,2,3)$	$\{2,3\}$	4	$4-2a_3$	w_1w_{17}	a_3-2	$-a_1-3$
w_{18}	$(3,2,1)$	$\{2,3\}$	2	$2-2a_2$	w_1w_{18}	a_2-1	$-a_3-1$
w_{19}	e	$\{1,3\}$	4	$8+2a_1$	w_2w_{14}	$-a_1-4$	a_2
w_{20}	$(1,2)$	$\{1,3\}$	3	$6+2a_2$	w_2w_{13}	$-a_2-3$	a_1+1
w_{21}	$(1,3)$	$\{1,3\}$	3	$4+2a_3$	w_2w_{18}	$-a_3-2$	a_2
w_{22}	$(2,3)$	$\{1,3\}$	5	$8+2a_1$	w_2w_{17}	$-a_1-4$	a_3-1
w_{23}	$(1,2,3)$	$\{1,3\}$	2	$4+2a_3$	w_2w_{16}	$-a_3-2$	a_1+1
w_{24}	$(3,2,1)$	$\{1,3\}$	4	$6+2a_2$	w_2w_{15}	$-a_2-3$	a_3-1

(2) $w = w_3$, *only when* $a_2 = a_3 = 0$. *In this case the corresponding cohomology class could contribute to a ghost class in degree* 4 *and would have weight equal to the middle weight of* $H^4(S, \tilde{V}_\lambda)$.
(3) $w = w_7$, *only when* $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$. *In this case the corresponding cohomology class could contribute to a ghost class in degree* 7 *and would have weight equal to the middle weight of* $H^7(S, \tilde{V}_\lambda)$ *plus one.*
(4) $w = w_9$, *only when* $a_2 = a_3 = 0$. *In this case the corresponding cohomology class could contribute to a ghost class in degree* 4 *and would have weight equal to the middle weight of* $H^4(S, \tilde{V}_\lambda)$.
(5) $w = w_{12}$, *only when* $a_2 = a_3 = 0$ *or* $a_2 = a_3 = 1$, *in which case the corresponding cohomology class could contribute to a ghost class in degree* 5 *and would have weight equal to the middle weight of* $H^4(S, \tilde{V}_\lambda)$ *plus* 1 *(if* $a_2 = a_3 = 0$*) or plus* 3*(if* $a_2 = a_3 = 1$*).*
(6) $w = w_{15}$, *only when* $a_2 = a_3 = 0$, *in which case the corresponding cohomology class could contribute to a ghost class in degree* 4 *and would have weight equal to the middle weight of* $H^4(S, \tilde{V}_\lambda)$.

(7) $w = w_{21}$, *only when* $a_2 = a_3 = 0$, *in which case the corresponding cohomology class could contribute to a ghost class in degree* 4 *and would have weight equal to the middle weight of* $H^4(S, \tilde{V}_\lambda)$.
(8) $w = w_{24}$, *only when* $-a_3 = a_2 = 0$ *or* $-a_3 = a_2 = 1$, *in which case the corresponding cohomology class could contribute to a ghost class in degree* 5 *and would have weight equal to the middle weight of* $H^5(S, \tilde{V}_\lambda)$ *plus* 1 *(if* $-a_3 = a_2 = 0$*) or plus* 3 *(if* $-a_3 = a_2 = 1$*).*

Proof. We begin, as in the previous section, by using the facts in 6.2 to eliminate certain possible contributions to ghost classes. As one can see in the Table 2, for the Weyl representatives

$$w \in \{w_1, w_4, w_6, w_{13}, w_{14}, w_{16}, w_{18}\}$$

the corresponding space $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$ has weight less than the middle weight of $H^{\ell(w)+1}(S, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ and therefore this space cannot contribute to ghost classes. The space corresponding to $w = w_2$ could only contribute to ghost classes if $a_2 = 0$. When $w = w_3$ or $w = w_{15}$, the corresponding cohomology class could only contribute to ghost classes if $a_3 \leq 0$. When $w = w_9$ or $w = w_{21}$, the corresponding cohomology class could only contribute to ghost classes if $a_3 \geq 0$

We follow by using 6.3, and one can see by this method that for

$$w \in \{w_5, w_{10}, w_{19}, w_{20}, w_{22}, w_{23}\}$$

the corresponding space $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$ will be entirely contained in the image of r_2 and therefore this space cannot contribute to ghost classes. When $w = w_2$ or $w = w_7$, then the corresponding space can contribute to ghost classes only when $a_1 = a_2$. When $w = w_3$ or $w = w_{12}$, then the corresponding space can contribute to ghost classes only when $a_2 = a_3$. When $w = w_{21}$ or $w = w_{24}$, then the corresponding space can contribute to ghost classes only when $a_2 = -a_3$.

Let $w = w_8$. We will use [Sch94] again as in the previous section. One can see that $w_8 = w_{13}w_{20}$ with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{W}^{P_0} = \mathcal{W}_0^1 \mathcal{W}^{P_1}$. Then we remember that, in the case in which certain Eisenstein series are holomorphic at a certain evaluation point (see [Sch94] for the precise statement) one can construct cohomology classes in $H^\bullet(\partial_1, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$ from a cohomology class in $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{w_*(\lambda)})$ whose image under r_1 is some class in $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{(w_{13}w_{20})_*(\lambda)}) \oplus Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{(w_1 w_{20})_*(\lambda)})$ such that the restriction to the first component is the cohomology class from where we started the construction. One can verify, by the same methods used in the previous section, that the corresponding Eisenstein series are holomorphic in this case. Therefore, as $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{(w_1 w_{20})_*(\lambda)})$ is in the image of r_2, we conclude that $Ind_{P_0}^G H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{W}_{(w_{13}w_{20})_*(\lambda)})$ is in $Im(r_1) + Im(r_2)$ and therefore it does not contribute to ghost classes. By using the same arguments one can see that for $w = w_{11}$ and $w = w_{17}$ the corresponding space does not contribute to ghost classes. For $w = w_7$, $w = w_9$ and $w = w_{15}$ the corresponding space could contribute to ghost classes only when $a_2 = 0$. For $w = w_{12}$ the corresponding space could contribute to ghost classes only when $a_3 = 0$ or $a_3 = 1$. For $w = w_{24}$ the corresponding space could contribute to ghost classes only when $a_3 = 0$ or $a_3 = -1$ and we have proved the theorem. □

Following the above discussion, we have the following

Corollary 9. *Let* V_λ *be the finite dimensional irreducible representation with highest weight* $\lambda = a_1\epsilon_1 + a_2\epsilon_2 + a_3\epsilon_3 + c\kappa$. *Then:*

(1) *If* $a_2 \neq a_3$ *and* $-a_2 \neq a_3$, *or* $a_2 = a_3 \notin \{0, 1\}$ *or* $a_2 = -a_3 \notin \{0, 1\}$, *then there are no ghost classes in the cohomology space* $H^\bullet(\partial\overline{S}, \widetilde{V}_\lambda)$.

(2) *If* $a_2 \neq 1$ *or* $a_3 \notin \{1, -1\}$*, then the only possible weights in the space of ghost classes are the middle weight and the middle weight plus one.*
(3) *If* $a_2 = a_3 = 1$ *or* $a_2 = -a_3 = 1$*, then the only possible weight in the space of ghost classes is the middle weight plus* 3*.*

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics (MPIM) in Bonn, where much of the discussion and work took place, for its support and hospitality. This work has been initiated while both authors were postdoctoral fellows at MPIM. The authors would like to thank Prof. Gunter Harder for several discussions and encouragement during the writing of this article. Moya would like to thank Prof. Michael Harris for his support and help.

References

[BS73] A. Borel and J.-P. Serre, *Corners and arithmetic groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **48** (1973), 436–491, Avec un appendice: Arrondissement des variétés à coins, par A. Douady et L. Hérault. MR 0387495
[Har86] Michael Harris, *Arithmetic vector bundles and automorphic forms on Shimura varieties. II*, Compositio Math. **60** (1986), no. 3, 323–378. MR 869106
[Har87] G. Harder, *Eisenstein cohomology of arithmetic groups. The case* GL_2, Invent. Math. **89** (1987), no. 1, 37–118. MR 892187
[Har90] ———, *Some results on the eisenstein cohomology of arithmetic subgroups of* GL_n, Lecture Notes in Math., 1447 (1990).
[HZ94] Michael Harris and Steven Zucker, *Boundary cohomology of Shimura varieties. II. Hodge theory at the boundary*, Invent. Math. **116** (1994), no. 1-3, 243–308. MR 1253194
[Kos61] Bertram Kostant, *Lie algebra cohomology and the generalized Borel-Weil theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **74** (1961), 329–387. MR 0142696
[Sch94] Joachim Schwermer, *Eisenstein series and cohomology of arithmetic groups: The generic case.*, Inventiones mathematicae **116** (1994), no. 1-3, 481–512.

Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August Universität Göttingen, Bunsenstrasse 3-5, D-37073 Germany
E-mail address: jitendra@math.uni-goettingen.de

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Vivatsgasse 7, D-53111, Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: matiasmoyagiusti@mpim-bonn.mpg.de